Local Gow	mment Typ	e vnship	□Village ☑Ot		vernment Name O COUNTY ROAD COMM	SSION	County	
Audit Date 12/31/0			Opinion Date 3/30/06		Dats Accountant Report Subm 4/27/06	itted to State:		
accordan	ce with t	he Sta	atements of the Go	vernmental /	it of government and rendered Accounting Standards Board Comment in Michigan by the Mic	(GASB) and t	the Uniform I	Reporting Format t
We affirm	n that:							
1. We h	ave comp	lied w	ith the Bulletin for th	e Audits of Lo	ocal Units of Government in Mi	<i>chigan</i> as revis	sed.	
2. We a	re certifie	d publ	ic accountants regis	tered to pract	ice in Michigan.			
	er affirm th s and rec			ses have beer	disclosed in the financial stat	ements, includ	ing the notes,	or in the report of
ou must	check the	applic	cable box for each it	em below.				
Yes	√ No	1, (	Certain component u	inits/funds/ag	encies of the local unit are exc	luded from the	financial sta	tements.
Yes	✓ No		There are accumula 275 of 1980).	ted deficits in	one or more of this unit's ur	reserved fund	balances/ret	ained earnings (P.A
Yes Vo 3. There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act (P.A. 2 of 196 amended).								(P.A. 2 of 1968, a
Yes	✓ No				conditions of either an order under the Emergency Municipa		the Municipa	I Finance Act or it
Yes	<b></b> ✓ No				estments which do not comply . 55 of 1982, as amended [MC		requirement	s. (P.A. 20 of 1943
Yes	✓ No	6. T	he local unit has be	en delinquent	in distributing tax revenues th	at were collect	ed for another	r taxing unit.
Yes	✓ No	7. p	ension benefits (no	mal costs) in	onstitutional requirement (Arti the current year. If the plan ost requirement, no contribution	is more than 1	00% funded	and the overfunding
Yes	✓ No		he local unit uses MCL 129.241).	credit cards	and has not adopted an app	icable policy	as required b	y P.A. 266 of 199
Yes	✓ No	9. T	he local unit has no	t adopted an i	nvestment policy as required t	y P.A. 196 of	1997 (MCL 12	29.95).
Ve have	enclosed	the fo	ollowing:			Enclosed	To Be Forwarde	Not Required
he letter	of comme	ents ar	nd recommendation	s.	-			1
Reports on individual federal financial assistance programs (program audits).					(program audits).			1
lingle Au	dit Report	s (ASL	.GU).					1
	ilic Account	Control of the last to	F. C. T. H. C. C.	Wiles				
GARDN Street Addre		VEN	ZANO, SCHAUM	AN & THOM	MAS, P.C.	11	State	ZIP
1855 STATE STREET SAGI								

IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION losco, Michigan

Financial Statements December 31, 2005

### Contents

	Page Number
Independent Auditor's Report	
Management's Discussion and Analysis	1
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet	9
Reconciliation of Governmental Fund Balance to Net Assets of Governmental Activities	10
Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	11
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Fund to the Statement of Activities	12
Notes to Financial Statements	14
Required Supplemental Information	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule	24
Additional Supplemental Information Analysis of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	26
Schedule of Federal Financial Awards	28
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	29

Frederick C. Gardner Giacamo Provenzano James R. Schauman Heather A. Thomas

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

March 30, 2006

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of losco County losco, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities of the losco County Road Commission, a component unit of losco County, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005, which comprise the basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the losco County Road Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities of the losco County Road Commission as of December 31, 2005, and the respective change in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of losco County Page Two

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 30, 2006, on our consideration of the losco County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information are not a required part of the financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The additional supplemental information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements of losco County Road Commission and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Sordner, Provenzono, Achauman 3 Thomas, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### INTRODUCTION

The losco County Road Commission (ICRC), a component unit of losco County, is a special purpose government engaged in a single government program of road maintenance and construction in the County of losco, Michigan. Our discussion and analysis of the financial performance of the ICRC provides an overview of the ICRC's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Please read this in conjunction with the financial statements.

### USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the ICRC as a whole and present a longer-term view of the ICRC's finances. We refer to these statements as government-wide statements. At the fund level, the statements tell how the services the ICRC provided were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Because the ICRC is a single purpose government, and as allowed by GASB 34, fund financial statements are combined with government-wide statements and start on page 9.

### THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

One of the most important questions asked about the ICRC's finances is, "Is the Road Commission better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities report information about losco County Road Commission as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the Road Commission's net assets and the changes in net assets. You can think of the Road Commission's net assets, the difference between assets and liabilities, as one way to measure the Road Commission's financial health, or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the ICRC's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other nonfinancial factors to assess the overall health of the Road Commission.

## THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES (CONT.)

The audited financial activities of ICRC are presented herein. These statements include the following:

- Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet.
- Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet Fund Balance to the Statement of Net Assets at December 31, 2005,
- Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance, and
- Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities for the Year Ended December 31, 2005.

### CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following are condensed government-wide financial statements for ICRC.

### Condensed Statement of Net Assets

2005		2004
\$ 4,298,453	\$	3,828,050
10,113,224		8,665,525
\$ 14,411,677	\$	12,493,575
\$ 731,557	\$	630,924
731,557	2	630,924
3,566,896		3,197,126
10,113,224	0-00	8,665,525
\$ 13,680,120	\$	11,862,651
\$	\$ 10,113,224 \$ 14,411,677 \$ 731,557 731,557 3,566,896 10,113,224	\$ 10,113,224 \$ 14,411,677 \$ 731,557 \$ 731,557 \$ 3,566,896 10,113,224

### CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONT.)

The losco County Road Commission's net assets increased approximately 15% from \$11,862,651 to \$13,680,120 for the year ended December 31, 2005. This was primarily due to the investment in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and disposals that are now being reported in compliance with GASB 34. The increase is not as large as in past years as gravel and sealcoat projects are no longer considered capital assets and adjustments were made to past years capital assets to remove them from the total.

### Condensed Statement of Activities

	2005		2004
\$	4,239,069	\$	4,795,939
	584,903		550,058
	720,053		807,500
-	5,544,025		6,153,497
	1,083,684		1,293,271
	1,404,553		1,385,833
	539,330		697,477
	423,805		383,140
	275,184		(49,710)
-	3,726,556		3,710,011
\$	1,817,469	\$	2,443,486
	\$	\$ 4,239,069 584,903 720,053 5,544,025 1,083,684 1,404,553 539,330 423,805 275,184 3,726,556	\$ 4,239,069 584,903 720,053 5,544,025 1,083,684 1,404,553 539,330 423,805 275,184 3,726,556

The Road Commission's revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005, decreased approximately 9.9% from \$6,153,497 to \$5,544,025. This decrease was principally due to uncertainty of the funding level of the SAFETY-LU Federal Transportation Bill and dollar allocation to a much larger future project. Road maintenance expenditures increased 4.4% in 2005 from the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004. This was principally due to the increases associated with labor and equipment costs.

### THE ROAD COMMISSION'S FUNDS

The losco County Road Commission experienced a successful construction year in 2005. The road commission constructed and paved 5 miles on the county primary road system and 18.75 miles on the local system along with several gravel and drainage projects on both systems. Thirty-six local road projects were completed in partnership with our townships. Seven of the eleven townships located within the county have dedicated road millages and they contributed over \$514,000 for local road improvements. The revenues of \$5,544,025 exceeded the \$5,175,030 expenditures for an increase in fund balance of \$368,995.

### **BUDGET ANALYSIS**

### ORIGINAL BUDGET VERSUS AMENDED BUDGET

Prior to the beginning of the year, the ICRC's budget is compiled based on certain assumptions and facts available at that time. During the year, the ICRC Board amends the budget to reflect changes in those original assumptions; facts and/or economic conditions that were unknown or that had changed since the original budget was compiled. The original 2005 budget was adopted in December of 2004. The final revenue budget for the year ended December 31, 2005, was adjusted by \$248,796 for the following reasons:

- State revenue was increased due to projects carried over into the 2005 construction year.
- Township revenue was increased by \$70,000 to reflect additional township projects.
- Trunk line non-maintenance was increased by \$85,000 to reflect additional projects requested by MDOT.
- Interest income was increased by \$48,000 due to the increase in interest rates.
- Non-certified road revenues were increased by \$43,000 as townships requested several gravel and ditching projects on non-certified roads.

The balances of the variances were from adjustments made to several individual revenue line items, none of which management considers significant.

The final amended expenditure budget for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$298,512 greater than the original budget for the following reasons:

- There was a sharp increase in fuel costs for the fleet.
- Equipment rental hours increased due to many local projects completed.
- Under the MDOT contract, the state trunk line maintenance and nonmaintenance line items were increased during the budget year to facilitate the extreme winter season and to complete additional MDOT projects.
- There were increased expenditures for the non-certified road projects.

### AMENDED BUDGET VERSUS ACTUAL

The actual revenue exceeded the final budgeted revenue by \$231,229 for the following reasons:

- Township revenue exceeded the final budget by \$44,186 due to two projects that were completed in 2005 but were not expected to be completed until 2006.
- The MTF revenue is based upon historical averages, with a 60-day delay in receipt. 2005 revenue exceeded budget projections by \$176,967.

Total budgeted expenditures were \$786,482 less than actual for the following reasons:

- The Michigan State Treasury's clarifications of definitions to be used in infrastructure reporting necessitated shifts in budget account line items which caused Local Preservation-Structural Improvement and Local Routine Maintenance line items to become skewed. The total \$215,534 variance in the two budget items was primarily due to the allowance for severe winter snow removal expenditures and milder December weather.
- The direct equipment variance of \$136,338 was due to actual expense being less than the budgeted historical average.
- Fuel variance of \$83,997 was due to the uncertainty of fuel prices and usage requirements for winter snow removal.
- Capital outlay variance of \$98,899 was due to a tandem truck ordered in early 2005 not being delivered until January 2006. The variance was rolled into the 2006 budget.

The remaining differences in revenue and expenditure were due to variances in several line items, none of which management considers significant.

### CAPITAL ASSETS

ICRC has capital assets for full accrual accounting purposes, net of accumulated depreciation, of \$10,113,224. This information, which includes infrastructure, is summarized below:

20	2005	2004		
\$	69,784	\$	69,784	
	1,834,326	1	,834,326	
	5,639,746	5	,615,921	
	258,638		264,586	
	8,803,976	6	,759,597	
12	16,606,470	14	,544,214	
	(6,493,246)	(5	,878,689)	
\$	10,113,224	\$8	,665,525	
	\$	\$ 69,784 1,834,326 5,639,746 258,638 8,803,976 16,606,470 (6,493,246)	\$ 69,784 \$ 1,834,326 1 5,639,746 5 258,638 8,803,976 6 16,606,470 14 (6,493,246) (5	

Additional information regarding capital assets is located in the notes to the financial statements.

### LONG-TERM DEBT

At year end, the ICRC's long-term debt consisted of compensated absences (accumulated sick and vacation pay) in the amount of \$278,867.

### OTHER

Management is not aware of any currently known facts, decisions, or conditions expected to have a significant effect on next year or beyond on the losco County Road Commission's financial condition.

### CONTACTING THE IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION'S MANAGEMENT

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens and customers with a general overview of the losco County Road Commission's finances and to show the losco County Road Commission's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the Financial Manager at 3939 West M-55, Tawas City, MI 48763.

# **BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

### IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2005

		General Fund		Adjustments	Statement of Net Assets		
ASSETS		71.50	-		-00	0.000	
Cash	\$	117,920	\$	7.E	\$	117,920	
Investment		3,203,117		12		3,203,117	
Accounts Receivable							
State Transportation Department		580,678				580,678	
Trunkline		64,119		*		64,119	
Sundry		55,314		(**		55,314	
Inventories							
Equipment materials and parts		51,064		5#3		51,064	
Road materials		222,158		120		222,158	
Deferred expenses		4,083				4,083	
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation		-		10,113,224		10,113,224	
Total Assets	\$	4,298,453	\$	10,113,224	\$	14,411,677	
LIABILITIES							
Accounts payable	\$	100,319	\$	1 4 mm	\$	100,319	
Accrued liabilities		69,713		:5:		69,713	
Advances		256,395		: 0:		256,395	
Due to State of Michigan		11,263		1.00 (i.00)		11,263	
Deferred credit other		15,000		: e:		15,000	
Compensated absences		.W. 1241.		278,867		278,867	
Total Liabilities	_	452,690		278,867	=	731,557	
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS							
Fund Balances:							
Restricted for County Roads		3,845,763		(3,845,763)		-	
Total Fund Balance		3,845,763		(3,845,763)	12	-	
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$	4,298,453		XIII - 1 - 21 0			
Net Assets:							
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt				10,113,224		10,113,224	
Restricted for County Roads				3,566,896		3,566,896	
Total Net Assets			\$	13,680,120	\$	13,680,120	

### IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES DECEMBER 31, 2005

Total governmental fund balance	\$	3,845,763
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds		10,113,224
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current perio and therefore are not reported in the funds	d	(278,867)
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	\$	13,680,120

### IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	General Fund	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenue	3		20 272
Federal	\$ 397,256	\$ -	\$ 397,256
State	3,841,813		3,841,813
County	584,903	2	584,903
Other	CALL COLUMN ASSESSMENT		
Interest earned	82,890		82,890
Special assessments	25,670	*	25,670
Licenses and permits	11,583	3	11,583
Sundry refunds	7,842	2	7,842
Charges for services	575,458		575,458
Miscellaneous	11,185		11,185
Gain (loss) equipment disposals	5,425		5,425
Total Revenue	5,544,025		5,544,025
Expenditures/expenses			
Primary preservation/structural improvements	1,021,286	(1,021,286)	~
Primary preventive/routine maintenance	1,083,684		1,083,684
Local preservation/structural improvements	1,023,093		V19045-9824245
Local preventive/routine maintenance	1,404,553	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	1,404,553
State trunkline maintenance	442,818		442,818
State trunkline non-maintenance	96,512		96,512
Administrative	423,805		423,805
Compensated absences	-120,000	(775)	(775)
Net equipment expense	(44,437		(44,437)
Net capital outlay	(11,10)	O.	(11/10//
Capital outlay	121,101	(121,101)	_
Depreciation	(421,790		2
Depreciation	(421,780	293,107	293,107
	-	2,884	2,884
Deprecation on salt sheds Other	24,405		24,405
Total Expenditures/expenses	5,175,030	(1,448,474)	3,726,556
Evenes of Bayesus Over (Hader)			
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) expenditures/expenses	368,995	(368,995)	-
Change in Net Assets	2	1,817,469	1,817,469
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year,	3,476,768	(3,476,768)	5
Net Assets - Beginning of Year, restated	,	11,862,651	11,862,651
Fund Balance/Net Assets - End of Year	\$ 3,845,763	\$ 9,834,357	\$ 13,680,120

# IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

Net change in fund balancetotal governmental funds	\$ 368,995
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures.  However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as	
depreciation expense.	1,743,690
Governmental funds do not record deprecation as an expense	(295,991)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. (Increase in compensated	
absences)	775
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$ 1,817,469

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### NOTE 1--SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

losco County Road Commission's financial statements are prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements. Governments are also required to follow the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable) that do not conflict with GASB pronouncements. The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by the ICRC are discussed below.

### Reporting Entity

The ICRC is governed by a three-member board of County Road Commissioners appointed by the losco County Board of Commissioners. The ICRC is a component unit of the losco County.

Based upon GASB Statement 14, which establishes criteria for determining the reporting entity, these financial statements present the losco County Road Commission, a discretely presented component unit of losco County, and include the ICRC's general operations fund.

### Basic Financial Statements - Government-Wide Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the activities of the Road Commission. The Road Commission consists solely of government-type activities: no business-type activities exist.

This government-wide approach is focused more on the sustainability of the ICRC as an entity and the change in the Commission's net assets from the current year's activities.

### Basic Financial Statement - Fund Financial Statements

The accounts of the Commission are organized on a fund basis. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts, which are segregated for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions or limitations. The Commission's operations are accounted for in one fund, the general operations fund.

### NOTE 1--SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONT.)

### Measurement Focus/Basis of Accounting

Measurement focus refers to what is being measured; basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The government-wide statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. The economic resources measurement focus means all assets and liabilities (whether current or non-current) are included on the statement of net assets and the operating statements present increases (revenues) and decreases (expenses) in net total assets. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned. Expenses are recognized at the time the liability is incurred.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual; i.e., when they become both measurable and available. "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The ICRC considers revenues as available if they are collected soon after year-end.

### Cash and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand and demand deposits.

### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are primarily amounts due from other governmental units.

### Inventories and Prepaid Items

Inventories consist of equipment parts and road materials and are valued at the lower of cost or market. Inventory items are charged to road construction, maintenance, equipment repairs and operations as they are used.

### NOTE 1-SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONT.)

### Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital assets purchased are reported at historic cost. Contributed assets are reported at fair market value when received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Capital assets are capitalized and depreciated according to State guidelines. Depreciation is computed on the sum-of-the-year's-digits methods for road equipment and straight-line method for all other capital assets over the following estimated useful lives:

	Years
Buildings	25 - 50
Road equipment	5 – 8
Other equipment	4 - 20
Infrastructure	5 - 50

GASB 34 requires the ICRC to report and depreciate Infrastructure assets in its government-wide statements. Infrastructure assets include roads, bridges, traffic signals, etc.

### Compensated Absences (vacation and sick leave)

It is the policy of the ICRC to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused sick and vacation pay benefits.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The Road Commission follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

- A budget is adopted by the Board of County Road Commissioners of losco County prior to December 31 of each year. The budget includes proposed expenditures and a means of financing them.
- The losco County Road Commission approved budget is then submitted to the losco County Board of Commissioners.
- The budget is prepared by the Road Commission on a basis consistent with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and revised as deemed necessary during the year.
- Budgets are prepared using the modified accrual basis of accounting.
- The budgetary information presented has been amended throughout the year.

### NOTE 1--SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONT.)

Law requires budget amendments as needed to prevent actual expenditures from exceeding those provided in the budget. Expenditures, which exceeded appropriations, are illustrated in required supplemental information.

### NOTE 2-CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes the Road Commission to deposit and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations; bonds, securities and other direct obligations of the United States, or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; United States government or federal agency obligations repurchase agreements; banker's acceptance of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which mature not more than 270 days after the date purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles which are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan. Financial institutions eligible for deposit of public funds must maintain an office in Michigan.

All special revenue fund investments are held in the name of the losco County Treasurer; therefore, the insured amount of Road Commission investment is not determinable. Since the County has in excess of the \$100,000 limits, all Road Commission investments are presumed to be uninsured.

The ICRC's deposits are categorized below according to level of credit risk:

- Category 1 represents the ICRC's insured or collateralized deposits with securities held by the ICRC or by its agent in the ICRC's name.
- Category 2 represents the ICRC's collateralized deposits with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the ICRC's name.
- Category 3 represents the ICRC's uncollateralized deposits including any bank balances that are collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the ICRC's name.

### NOTE 2--CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONT.)

The balance of cash and investments at December 31, 2005 is as follows:

		Category							Carrying	
	1	- 8	2		3		Balance		Amount	
Demand deposits	\$100,000	\$	-	\$	129,653	\$	229,653	\$	117,520	
Investments	::::		5.5		3,203,117		3,203,117		3,203,117	
Cash on hand			- 5		- 3				400	
Totals	\$100,000	\$	5	\$ 3	3,332,770	\$	3,432,770	\$ :	3,321,037	

### NOTE 3--CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION

Changes in capital assets for the year are as follows:

	Balance 1/1/2005			Additions	Retin	ements	Balance 12/31/2005		
Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated	99	NOVE CONTRACTOR	-00		4	-			
Land	\$	39,165	\$	50	\$	*	\$	39,165	
Land improvements	7	30,619			V			30,619	
Total Land and improvements		69,784	_					69,784	
Other Capital Assets									
Buildings and Improvements		1,834,326					1,834,32		
Road equipment		5,615,921	121,101		(97,276)		5,639,7		
Other equipment		264,586	+1		(5,948)		258,63		
Infrastructure and improvements		6,759,597		2,044,379			8,803,97		
Total Other Capital Assets		14,474,430		2,165,480	(1	03,224)		16,536,686	
Total Capital Assets		14,544,214	3	2,165,480	(1	03,224)		16,606,470	
Accumulated Depreciation									
Land improvements		10,061		3,062		$\cup$		13,123	
Buildings and Improvements		885,734		36,687				922,421	
Road equipment		4,607,226		367,770		97,276)		4,877,720	
Other equipment		207,333		17,155		(5,948)		218,540	
Infrastructure and improvements		168,335		293,107		20 0		461,442	
Total Accumulated Depreciation	:	5,878,689	Ž.	717,781	(1	03,224)		6,493,246	
Total Net Capital Assets	\$	8,665,525	\$	1,447,699	\$	-	\$	10,113,224	

### NOTE 4--LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Long-term liabilities consist solely of accrued compensated absences payable.

Following is a summary of the changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2005:

	Balance 1/1/2005	Ir	ncrease	 ecrease		Balance 2/31/2005
Accrued compensated			WHITE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TO	-	-	
absences	\$ 279,642	\$	14,801	\$ (15,576)	\$	278,867
Total	\$ 279,642	\$	14,801	\$ (15,576)	\$	278,867

### NOTE 5-UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

The Road Commission is subject to the Michigan Employment Security Act and has elected the reimbursement method of financing. Under this method, the Road Commission must reimburse the Michigan Employment Security Commission for all benefits charged against the Road Commission. There was no unemployment expense for the year ended December 31, 2005. The ICRC received a refund in the amount of \$822 from the year ended December 31, 2004.

### NOTE 6--PENSION PLAN

### Plan Description

The Road Commission's defined benefit pension plan provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The Road Commission participates in the Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan (MERS), an agent multiple-employer plan administered by the MERS Retirement Board, Act No. 427 of the Public Acts of 1984, as amended by 1996 PA 220, establishes and amends the benefit provisions of the participants in MERS. The fiscal year for the retirement plan ends December 31. The Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for MERS. That report may be obtained by writing the Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan, 447 N. Canal Road, Lansing, Michigan 48917 or by calling (800) 767-6377.

### NOTE 6--PENSION PLAN (CONT.)

### Funding Policy

The Road Commission is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate based on covered payroll; the rate at December 31, 2005 was 6.05% for hourly employees and 13.63% for salaried employees. The ICRC's hourly employees are required to contribute 6.7% of annual compensation and salaried employees are required to contribute 8.97% of annual compensation. The contribution requirements of the Road Commission are established and may be amended by the Retirement Board of MERS. The contribution requirements of plan members, if any, are established and may be amended by the Road Commission depending on the MERS contribution program adopted by the Road Commission.

### Annual Pension Cost

For the year ended December 31, 2005, the Road Commission's annual pension cost was \$127,971, which is equal to the required contribution. The required contribution was determined as part of the December 31, 2003 actuarial valuation using the entry age actuarial cost method. The actuarial assumptions included (a) an assumed rate of investment return which is used to discount liabilities and project what plan assets will earn investment of present and future assets of 8.0%, (b) a mortality table projecting the number of employees who will die before retirement and the duration of benefit payments after retirement, (c) assumed retirement rates projected when employees will retire and commence receiving benefits, (d) a set of withdrawal and disability rates to estimate the number of employees who will leave the work force before retirement, and (e) assumed rates of salary increases to project employees compensation in future years. The actuarial value of MERS assets was determined on a basis of a valuation method that assumes the fund earns the expected rate of return and includes an adjustment to reflect market value.

### Three-year Trend Information

Fiscal	Annual Pension	Percentage of APC	Net Pension
Year Ended	Cost	Contributed	Obligation
12/31/2003	\$ 100,772	100%	
12/31/2004	\$ 124,483	100%	
12/31/2005	\$ 127,971	100%	<u> </u>

### NOTE 6--PENSION PLAN (CONT.)

### Annual Pension Cost (cont.)

### Schedule of Funding Progress

		Actuarial	Unfunded (Over			UAAL as a
	Actuarial	Accrued	Funded)			% of
Actuarial	Value of	Liability	AAL	Funded	Covered	Covered
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	(b-a)/(c)
12/31/2002	\$ 3,638,594	\$ 4,955,285	\$ 1,316,691	73%	\$1,392,223	95%
12/31/2003	\$ 3,951,538	\$ 5,610,261	\$ 1,658,723	70%	\$1,429,139	116%
12/31/2004	\$ 4,254,984	\$ 6,147,406	\$ 1,892,422	69%	\$1,501,355	126%

### NOTE 7--RISK MANAGEMENT

The Road Commission is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omission; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The commission manages its workers' compensation through commercial insurance. The commission manages all other risks by participating in Michigan County Road Commission Self-Insurance Pool (MCRCSIP), a trust fund established by the road commissions in the State of Michigan. The MCRCSIP is a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program. The commission pays an annual premium to MCRCSIP for its general liability coverage. The MCRCSIP is self-sustaining through member premiums and provides reinsurance through commercial companies for claims in excess of certain limits.

### NOTE 8--LITIGATION

The Road Commission is a party to various legal proceedings, which normally occur in governmental operations for which the Road Commission carries commercial insurance.

### NOTE 9--POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to the pension benefits described in Note 6, the losco County Road Commission provides post-employment health, dental and life insurance benefits, in accordance with employee working agreements, to all employees who retire at the age of 60 until they reach full social security retirement age.

For those employees who terminate without retirement, healthcare benefits are terminated. At that time, the former employee has continuation rights to health insurance coverage under the COBRA law of 1985.

### NOTE 9--POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2005, retirees' health insurance was provided as traditional Blue Cross Blue Shield with a major medical rider. The ICRC paid for approximately 50% of the cost of coverage for retirees and surviving spouses eligible to participate in the group plan. The remainder of the premium was paid by the retiree. For the year ended December 31, 2005, four employees met the eligibility requirements for this benefit.

Once a retiree reaches full social security retirement age, the ICRC reimburses up to \$400 for medical expenses not covered by insurance within the calendar year. For the year ended December 31, 2005, twenty-two retirees were eligible for this benefit.

Expenditures for post-employment healthcare are recognized as claims and premiums are paid. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the cost for claims and premiums for retirees, dependents, and surviving spouses was \$21,556.

### NOTE 10-RESTRICTED ASSETS

The restricted asset balance of \$15,000 is being held for future maintenance on a sub-division railroad crossing.

### NOTE 11--FEDERAL GRANTS

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) requires that road commissions report all Federal and State grants pertaining to their county. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Federal aid received and expended by the Road Commission was \$397,256 for contracted projects and \$0 for negotiated projects. Contracted projects are defined as projects performed by private contractors paid for and administered by MDOT (they are included in MDOT's single audit). Negotiated projects are projects where the road commission administers the grant and either performs the work or contracts it out. The Road Commission would be subject to single audit requirements if they expended \$500,000 or more for negotiated projects.

### Note 12-Prior Period Adjustment

Net assets at December 31, 2004, have been decreased by \$503,475. The adjustment was made to accommodate the new guidelines provided by the State in terms of the capitalization of infrastructure.

Had the guidelines been established before December 31, 2004 the change in net assets for the year ended December 31, 2004, would have been decreased by \$503,475.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

		Original Budget	Final Budget		: <del></del>	Actual		Actual Vs. Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Revenues	22	NN.02115-0510	020	1.44101112.001	-	95271M24042	-545	(VE)(550)26	
Federal	\$	400,000	\$	404,000	\$	397,256	\$	(6,744)	
State				23 202		237250		(020/34-20	
EDC		37,000		95,000		91,550		(3,450)	
MTF		3,500,000		3,500,000		3,676,967		176,967	
State forest road funds		73,000		73,296		73,296		-	
County		1010101101010		SO ENDIZIONE		envantre en		28/80/2784727	
Township		400,000		470,000		514,186		44,186	
US forest service contribution		80,000		70,000		70,717		717	
Other				222				7747500	
Trunkline maintenance		424,000		420,000		432,026		12,026	
Trunkline non-maint		_		85,000		85,279		279	
Non-certified road maint		25,000		68,000		54,184		(13,816)	
Other contributions		25,000		2,000		11,811		9,811	
Special assessments		50,000		24,500		25,670		1,170	
Interest		22,000		70,000		82,890		12,890	
Licenses and permits		8,000		10,000		11,583		1,583	
Gain of disposal of equipment		5,000		6,000		5,425		(575)	
Miscellaneous revenue		15,000		15,000		11,185		(3,815)	
Total Revenues		5,064,000		5,312,796		5,544,025	-	231,229	
Expenditures									
Primary preservation/structural improvements		1,141,500		1,173,440		1,021,286		152,154	
Primary preventive/routine maintenance	9	1,124,000		1,167,380		1,083,683		83,697	
Local preservation/structural improvements		1,111,760		757,960		1,023,093		(265, 133)	
Local preventive/routine maintenance		1,176,240		1,885,220		1,404,553		480,667	
State Trunkline Maintenance		424,000		450,000		442,818		7,182	
State Non-Maintenance				96,512		96,512			
Administrative		425,000		450,000		423,805		26,195	
Indirect Equipment Expense		447,000		451,000		432,446		18,554	
Direct Equipment Expense		904,000		810,000		673,662		136,338	
Operating Expense (Fuel & Oil)		274,500		350,000		266,003		83,997	
Less Equipment Rentals	(	1,200,000)	(	1,450,000)	10	(1,416,548)		(33,452)	
Capital Outlay	3	260,000		220,000		121,101		98,899	
Less Depreciation Credits		(450,000)		(450,000)		(421,789)		(28, 211)	
Non-Certified Road Maintenance		25,000		50,000		24,405		25,595	
Total Expenditures	77	5,663,000		5,961,512		5,175,030		786,482	
Excess of Revenues Over		24 B. B. B.							
(Under) Expenditures/		(599,000)		(648,716)		368,995		1,017,711	
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year,		3,476,768		3,476,768		3,476,768		283	
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	2,877,768	\$	2,828,052	\$	3,845,763	\$	(1,017,711)	

See independent auditor's report on supplementary information.

# ADDITIONAL SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE--SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	Appro	priated			
	Primary Roads	Local Roads	County	Total	
Revenues	1.10000	7,100,00	10,500,000	10000	
Federal					
Surface transportation					
program	\$ 200,984	\$ 39,330	\$	\$ 240,314	
D Funds	156,942	an control		156,942	
Total federal	357,926	39,330		397,256	
State					
Engineering	6,100	3,900		10,000	
Urban road	253,310	143,095		396,405	
Allocation	1,990,187	1,279,524	2	3,269,711	
Snow removal	851	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 2	851	
Forest funds	-	73,296		73,296	
Economic development	91,550	:•:	e e	91,550	
Total State	2,341,998	1,499,815		3,841,813	
County					
Township	200	514,186	2	514,186	
Other government		70,717	12	70,717	
Total County		584,903		584,903	
Other					
Interest earned	40,616	23,209	19,065	82,890	
Special assessments	2	25,670	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25,670	
Licenses and permits		Sension Con Care	11,583	11,583	
Sundry refunds	57.0		7,842	7,842	
Charges for services			575,458	575,458	
Miscellaneous	2 <del>4</del> 3	11,185		11,185	
Gain (loss)					
equipment disposals	2,127	1,776	1,522	5,425	
Total Other	42,743	61,840	615,470	720,053	
Total Revenues	\$ 2,742,667	\$ 2,185,888	\$ 615,470	\$ 5,544,025	

See independent auditor's report on supplementary information.

# ANALYSIS OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE--SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

	Approp	oriated			
Expenditures	Primary Roads	Local Roads	County Roads	Total	
Preservation-structural improvemnts	\$ 1,021,286	\$ 1,023,093	<u> </u>	\$	2,044,379
Preventive-routine maintenance	1,083,684	1,404,553	<u> </u>	_	2,488,237
Other					
Trunkline maintenance		16.	442,818		442,818
Trunkline nonmaintenence	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		96,512		96,512
Administration	196,817	226,988	3.65		423,805
Equipment	362,060	771,144	238,906		1,372,110
Less: equipment rental	(373,787)	(796, 117)	(246,643)		(1,416,547)
Capital outlay	100,000	16,001	5,100		121,101
Less: depreciation credits					
and retirements	(165, 375)	(138, 102)	(118,313)		(421,790)
Non certified road main,		100 W 32	24,405		24,405
Total other	119,715	79,914	442,785		642,414
Total expenditures	2,224,685	2,507,560	442,785		5,175,030
Excess of revenue over					
(under) expenditures	517,982	(321,672)	172,685		368,995
Other financing sources (uses)					
Optional transfer	(175,000)	175,000	5 <u>2</u> 11		2
Optional transfer	38.0	100,000	(100,000)		ā
Fund Balance, Beginning	1,685,827	968,249	822,692		3,476,768
Fund Balance, Ending	\$ 2,028,809	\$ 921,577	\$ 895,377	\$	3,845,763

### IOSCO COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Entity Number	Federal Expenditures		
U. S. Department of Transportation Highway					
Research, Planning and Construction					
Michigan Department of Transportation	20.205				
		78230A	\$	39,330	
		77585A		200,984	
		77583A		156,942	
Total Pass-Through			\$	397,256	

Note: Federal financial assistance, received under the highway planning and construction program, in the amount of \$397,256 was administered by the State of Michigan. The Road Commission has no responsibilities regarding fiscal or compliance controls over such assistance.

See independent auditor's report on supplementary information.

Frederick C. Gardner Glacamo Provenzano James R. Schauman Heather A. Thomas

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS.

March 30, 2006

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of losco County losco, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities of the losco County Road Commission, a component unit of losco County, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005, which collectively comprise the financial statements of the losco County Road Commission and have issued our report thereon dated March 30, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered losco County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a matter involving internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect losco County Road Commission's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

We noted an absence of appropriate segregation of duties consistent with appropriate control objectives.

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of Iosco County Page Two March 30, 2006

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is not a material weakness.

### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether losco County Road Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended for the information of management and the Board of County Road Commissioners. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Dardner, Provenzamo, Detraumam 3 Thomas, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants